arXiv:1412.3753v1 [math-ph] 11 Dec 2014

Theory of Classical Higgs Fields. III. Metric-affine gauge theory

G. SARDANASHVILY, A. KUROV

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, Russia

Abstract

We consider classical gauge theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking on a principal bundle $P \to X$ whose structure group G is reducible to a closed subgroup H, and sections of the quotient bundle $P/H \to X$ are treated as classical Higgs fields. Its most comprehensive example is metric-affine gauge theory on the category of natural bundles where gauge fields are general linear connections on a manifold X, classical Higgs fields are arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian metrics on X, and matter fields are spinor fields. In particular, this is the case of gauge gravitation theory.

Classical field theory admits a comprehensive mathematical formulation in the geometric terms of smooth fibre bundles, and gauge gravitation theory does so [2, 12]. In this framework, classical gauge theory is theory of principal connections on principal and associated bundles. Following our previous work [14, 15], we consider classical gauge theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking on a principal bundle $P \to X$ whose structure group G is reducible to a closed subgroup H, and sections of the quotient bundle $P/H \to X$ are treated as classical Higgs fields [2, 11, 16]. In this theory, matter fields with an exact symmetry group H are described by sections of a composite bundle $Y \to P/H \to X$. Their gauge G-invariant Lagrangian necessarily factorizes through a vertical covariant differential on Y defined by a principal connection on an H-principal bundle $P \to P/H$.

Metric-affine gauge theory provides the most comprehensive example of classical gauge theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking. In particular, this is the case of gauge gravitation theory [4, 5, 9]. In a general setting, it is a gauge theory of general linear connections on natural bundles, examplified by tangent bundles over a smooth manifold X. The associated principal bundle is a fibre bundle LX of linear frames in tangent space to X. A key point is that its structure group always is reducible due to the existence of a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric on X. Thus, a metric-affine gauge theory necessarily is characterized by spontaneous symmetry breaking where pseudo-Riemannian metrics are Higgs field. This fact enables one to describe spinor fields in the framework of this gauge theory though spinor bundles are not natural. They are described by sections of the composite bundle (60). In particular, the Higgs character of pseudo-Riemannian metrics is displayed by the fact that the representation (57) of tangent holonomic coframes on X by γ-matrices on spinor fields in the presence of different pseudo-Riemannian metrics are nonequivalent.

One naturally requires that gauge gravitation theory incorporates Einstein's General Relativity and, therefore, it should be based on the relativity and equivalence principles reformulated in

the fibre bundle terms [5, 13]. In these terms, the relativity principle states that gauge symmetries of classical gravitation theory are general covariant transformations. Fibre bundles possessing general covariant transformations constitute the category of so called natural bundles [2, 7].

A fibre bundle $Y \to X$ is called the natural bundle if there exists a monomorphism of a group of diffeomorphisms of X to a group of bundle automorphisms of $Y \to X$ over these diffeomorphisms. Automorphisms $\widetilde{f}$ are called general covariant transformations of Y. Accordingly, there exists a functorial lift of any vector field τ on X to a vector field $\overline{\tau}$ on Y such that $\tau \mapsto \overline{\tau}$ is a monomorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{T}(X)$ of vector field on X to that $\mathcal{T}(T)$ of vector fields on Y. This functorial lift $\overline{\tau}$ is an infinitesimal generator of a local one-parameter group of local general covariant transformations of Y.

From now on, a smooth manifold X is assumed to be Hausdorff, second-countable and, consequently, paracompact and locally compact, countable at infinity. It is n-dimensional and oriented. Given a smooth manifold X, its tangent and cotangent bundles TX and T^*X are endowed with bundle coordinates $(x^\lambda, \dot{x}^\lambda)$ and $(x^\lambda, \dot{x}_\lambda)$ with respect to holonomic frames $\{\partial_\lambda\}$ and $\{dx^\lambda\}$, respectively.

Natural bundles over X are exemplified by tensor bundles

$$T = (\overset{m}{\otimes} TX) \otimes (\overset{k}{\otimes} T^*X) \tag{1}$$

endowed with holonomic bundle coordinates $(x^\mu, x^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m}_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_k})$. Given a vector field τ on X, its functorial lift onto the tensor bundle (1) takes a form

$$\widetilde{\tau} = \tau^\mu\partial_\mu + [\partial_\nu\tau^{\alpha_1}\dot{x}^{\nu\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_m}_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_k} + \ldots - \partial_{\beta_1}\tau^\nu\dot{x}^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m}_{\nu\beta_2\cdots\beta_k} - \ldots]\dot{\partial}^{\beta_1\cdots\beta_k}_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m}, \qquad \dot{\partial}_\lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{x}^\lambda}.$$

The tensor bundles (1) possess a structure group

$$GL_n = GL^+(n, \mathbb{R}). \tag{2}$$

The associated principal bundle is a frame bundle LX of linear frames in the tangent spaces to X. Given a holonomic bundle atlas of the tangent bundle TX, every element $\{H_a\}$ of a frame bundle LX takes a form $H_a = H^\mu_a\partial_\mu$, where H^μ_a is a matrix of the natural representation of a group GL_4 in $\mathbb{R}^4$. These matrices constitute bundle coordinates

$$(x^\lambda, H^\mu_a), \qquad H'^\mu_a = \frac{\partial x'^\mu}{\partial x^\lambda}H^\lambda_a,$$

on LX associated to its holonomic atlas

$$\Psi_T = \{(U_\iota, z_\iota = \{\partial_\mu\})\}, \tag{3}$$

given by local sections $z_\iota = \{\partial_\mu\}$. With respect to these coordinates, the canonical right action of GL_n on LX reads $GL_4 \ni g : H^\mu_a \to H^\mu_b g^b{}_a$.

A frame bundle LX is equipped with a canonical $\mathbb{R}^4$-valued one-form

$$\theta_{LX} = H^a_\mu dx^\mu \otimes t_a, \tag{4}$$

where $\{t_a\}$ is a fixed basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$ and H^a_μ is the inverse matrix of H^μ_a.

A frame bundle $LX \to X$ is natural. Any diffeomorphism f of X gives rise to a principal automorphism

$$\widetilde{f} : (x^\lambda, H^\lambda_a) \to (f^\lambda(x), \partial_\mu f^\lambda H^\mu_a) \tag{5}$$

of LX which is its general covariant transformation. Any LX-associated bundle

$$Y = (LX \times V)/GL_n$$

with a typical fibre V also is the natural one. It admits a lift of any diffeomorphism f of its base to an automorphism $f_Y(Y) = (\widetilde{f}(LX) \times V)/GL_n$.

Let us consider gauge theory of principal connections on a frame bundle LX. They yield linear connections on the associated bundles, and *vice versa*. In particular, a linear connection on the tangent bundle $TX \to X$ reads

$$K = dx^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + K_\lambda{}^\mu{}_\nu \dot{x}^\nu \dot{\partial}_\mu). \tag{6}$$

Its curvature takes a form

$$\begin{aligned} &R = \frac{1}{2} R_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta \dot{x}^\beta dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu \otimes \dot{\partial}_\alpha, \\ &R_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta = \partial_\lambda K_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta - \partial_\mu K_\lambda{}^\alpha{}_\beta + K_\lambda{}^\gamma{}_\beta K_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\gamma - K_\mu{}^\gamma{}_\beta K_\lambda{}^\alpha{}_\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

A torsion of the K (6) is defined with respect to the canonical soldering form $dx^\mu \otimes \dot{\partial}_\mu$ on TX. It reads

$$T = \frac{1}{2} T_\mu{}^\nu{}_\lambda dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu \otimes \dot{\partial}_\nu, \qquad T_\mu{}^\nu{}_\lambda = K_\mu{}^\nu{}_\lambda - K_\lambda{}^\nu{}_\mu. \tag{8}$$

A linear connection is said to be symmetric if its torsion (8) vanishes, i.e., $K_\mu{}^\nu{}_\lambda = K_\lambda{}^\nu{}_\mu$.

A principal connection on LX, any linear connections (6) on TX is represented by a section of the quotient bundle

$$C = J^1 LX/GL_n \to X, \tag{9}$$

where $J^1 LX$ is the first order jet manifold of sections of $LX \to X$ [2, 12]. It is an affine bundle modelled over a vector bundle $T^*X \otimes_{TX} VTX \to X$, where VTX is the vertical tangent bundle of the tangent bundle $TX \to X$. Due to the canonical splitting of the vertical tangent bundle

$$VTX = TX \underset{X}{\times} TX, \tag{10}$$

the affine bundle $C \to X$ also is modelled over a vector bundle

$$T^*X \underset{TX}{\otimes} TX \to X. \tag{11}$$

With respect to the holonomic atlas Ψ_T (3), the C (9) is provided with the bundle coordinates

$$(x^\lambda, k_\lambda{}^\nu{}_\alpha), \qquad k'^\nu_\lambda{}_\alpha = \left[\frac{\partial x'^\nu}{\partial x^\gamma}\frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x'^\alpha} k_\mu{}^\gamma{}_\beta + \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x'^\alpha}\frac{\partial^2 x'^\nu}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\beta}\right]\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x'^\lambda},$$

so that, for any section K of $C \to X$, its coordinates $k_\lambda{}^\nu{}_\alpha \circ K = K_\lambda{}^\nu{}_\alpha$ are components of the linear connection K (6). Though the fibre bundle $C \to X$ (9) is not LX-associated, it is a natural bundle which admits the functorial lift

$$\widetilde{\tau}_C = \tau^\mu \partial_\mu + [\partial_\nu \tau^\alpha k_\mu{}^\nu{}_\beta - \partial_\beta \tau^\nu k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\nu - \partial_\mu \tau^\nu k_\nu{}^\alpha{}_\beta + \partial_{\mu\beta}\tau^\alpha]\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta}$$

of any vector field τ on X.

By the well-known theorem [2, 17], the structure group GL_n (2) of a principal frame bundle LX always is reducible to its maximal compact subgroup $SO(n)$. Thus, spontaneous symmetry

breaking in gauge theory on natural bundles necessarily takes place. Global sections of the corresponding quotient bundle $LX/SO(n) \to X$ are Riemannian metrics on X.

However, in gauge gravitation theory, the equivalence principle reformulated in geometric terms requires that the structure group $GL_{n=4}$ (2) of a frame bundle LX is reducible to a Lorentz group $SO(1,3)$ [5, 13]. Then global sections of the corresponding quotient bundle

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{P}R} = LX/SO(1,3) \tag{12}$$

are pseudo-Riemannian metrics of signature $(+,---)$ on a world manifold X. In Einstein's General Relativity, they are identified with gravitational fields which thus are treated as classical Higgs fields.

Let us note that, in any field model on fibre bundles over a manifold X, except the topological ones, a base manifold X is provided with Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metrics. In a general setting, we therefore assume that the structure group GL_n (2) of a principal frame bundle LX is reducible to a subgroup $SO(m,n-m)$, i.e., it LX contains reduced principal subbundles with a structure group $SO(m,n-m)$. In accordance with the well-known theorem ([14], Theorem 1), there is one-to-one correspondence between these reduced subbundles L^gX and the global sections G of the corresponding quotient bundle

$$\Sigma = LX/SO(m,n-m) \tag{13}$$

are pseudo-Riemannian metrics on a manifold X. For the sake of convenience, one usually identifies the quotient bundle Σ (13) with an open subbundle of the tensor bundle $\Sigma \subset \overset{2}{\vee} TX$. Therefore, it can be equipped with bundle coordinates $(x^\lambda, \sigma^{\mu\nu})$.

Every pseudo-Riemannian metric g defines an associated bundle atlas

$$\Psi^h = \{(U_\iota, z^h_\iota = \{h_a\})\} \tag{14}$$

of a frame bundle LX such that the corresponding local sections z^h_ι of LX take their values into a reduced subbundle L^gX, and the transition functions of Ψ^h (14) between the frames $\{h_a\}$ are $SO(m,n-m)$-valued. The frames (14):

$$\{h_a = h^\mu_a(x)\partial_\mu\}, \qquad h^\mu_a = H^\mu_a \circ z^h_\iota, \qquad x \in U_\iota, \tag{15}$$

are called the tetrad frames. Given the bundle atlas Ψ^h (14), the pull-back

$$h = h^a \otimes t_a = z^{h*}_\iota \theta_{LX} = h^a_\lambda(x) dx^\lambda \otimes t_a \tag{16}$$

of the canonical form θ_{LX} (4) by a local section z^h_ι is called the (local) tetrad form. It determines tetrad coframes

$$\{h^a = h^a_\mu(x) dx^\mu\}, \qquad x \in U_\iota, \tag{17}$$

in the cotangent bundle T^*X. They are the dual of the tetrad frames (15). The coefficients h^μ_a and h^a_μ of the tetrad frames (15) and coframes (17) are called the tetrad functions. They provide transition functions between the holonomic atlas Ψ_T (3) and the atlas Ψ^h (14) of a frame bundle LX. Relative to the atlas (14), a pseudo-Riemannian metric g takes the well-known form

$$g = \eta(h \otimes h) = \eta_{ab} h^a \otimes h^b, \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = h^a_\mu h^b_\nu \eta_{ab}, \tag{18}$$

where η is a pseudo-Euclidean metric of signature $(m, n-m)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ written with respect to its fixed basis $\{t_a\}$.

Since the fibre bundle $C \to X$ is modelled over the vector bundle (11), given a pseudo-Riemannian metric g, any connection K (6) admits a splitting

$$g_{\nu\beta}K_\mu{}^\beta{}_\alpha = K_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \{_{\mu\nu\alpha}\} + S_{\mu\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}C_{\mu\nu\alpha} \tag{19}$$

in the Christoffel symbols

$$\{_{\mu\nu\alpha}\} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_\alpha g_{\nu\mu} - \partial_\nu g_{\mu\alpha}), \tag{20}$$

the non-metricity tensor

$$C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = C_{\mu\alpha\nu} = D^K_\mu g_{\nu\alpha} = \partial_\mu g_{\nu\alpha} + K_{\mu\nu\alpha} + K_{\mu\alpha\nu} \tag{21}$$

and the contorsion

$$S_{\mu\nu\alpha} = -S_{\mu\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\nu\mu\alpha} + T_{\nu\alpha\mu} + T_{\mu\nu\alpha} + C_{\alpha\nu\mu} - C_{\nu\alpha\mu}), \tag{22}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu\alpha} = -T_{\alpha\nu\mu}$ are coefficients of the torsion form (8) of K.

A connection K is called a metric connection for a pseudo-Riemannian metric g if g is its integral section, i.e., the metricity condition $D^K_\mu g_{\nu\alpha} = 0$. A metric connection reads

$$K^g{}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \{_{\mu\nu\alpha}\} + \frac{1}{2}(T_{\nu\mu\alpha} + T_{\nu\alpha\mu} + T_{\mu\nu\alpha}). \tag{23}$$

By virtue of the well-known theorem [6], this connection is reduced to a principal connection on a reduced principal subbundle L^gX. It follows that, given the decomposition (19) for any pseudo-Riemannian metric g, a linear connection K (6) on LX contains the component

$$K^g = dx^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + K^g{}_\lambda{}^\mu{}_\nu \dot{x}^\nu \dot\partial_\mu) \tag{24}$$

which is a principal connection on L^gX ([15], Theorem 1). With respect to the atlas Ψ^h (14), the connection K^g (24) reads

$$K^g = dx^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + A_\lambda{}^b{}_a L_b{}^a), \qquad A_\lambda{}^b{}_a = -h^b_\mu \partial_\lambda h^\mu_a + K^g{}_\lambda{}^\mu{}_\nu h^b_\mu h^\nu_a, \tag{25}$$

where $L_b{}^a$ are generators of a Lie algebra $so(m, n-m)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In the absence of matter fields, dynamic variables of gauge theory on natural bundles are linear connections and pseudo-Riemannian metrics on X. Therefore, we call it the metric-affine gauge theory. It is a field theory on the bundle product

$$Y = \Sigma \underset{X}{\times} C \tag{26}$$

coordinated by $(x^\lambda, \sigma^{\mu\nu}, k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta)$. Its particular variant of $n = 4$, $m = 1$ is metric-affine gravitation theory [4, 5, 9].

Let us restrict our consideration to first order Lagrangian theory on Y (26). In this case, a configuration space of metric-affine gauge theory is a jet manifold

$$J^1Y = J^1\Sigma_{\mathrm{P}R} \underset{X}{\times} J^1C_{\mathrm{W}}, \tag{27}$$

coordinated by $(x^\lambda, \sigma^{\mu\nu}, k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta, \sigma^{\mu\nu}_\lambda, k_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta)$.

A first order Lagrangian L_{MA} of metric-affine gravitation theory is a defined as a density

$$L_{MA} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm MA}(x^\lambda, \sigma^{\mu\nu}, k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta, \sigma^{\mu\nu}_\lambda, k_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta)\omega, \qquad \omega = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n, \tag{28}$$

on the configuration space J^1Y (27) [2]. Its Euler–Lagrange operator takes a form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta L_{\rm MA} = (\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} d\sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{E}^\mu{}_\alpha{}^\beta dk_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta) \wedge \omega. \qquad (29)\\
&\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^{\alpha\beta}} - d_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^{\alpha\beta}_\lambda}\right)\mathcal{L}_{\rm MA}, \qquad \mathcal{E}^\mu{}_\alpha{}^\beta = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta} - d_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta}\right)\mathcal{L}_{MA},\\
&d_\lambda = \partial_\lambda + \sigma^{\alpha\beta}_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^{\alpha\beta}} + k_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta} + \sigma^{\alpha\beta}_{\lambda\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^{\alpha\beta}_\nu} + k_{\lambda\nu\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\nu\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations read

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{E}^\mu{}_\alpha{}^\beta = 0. \tag{30}$$

The fibre bundle (26) is a natural bundle admitting the functorial lift

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\tau}_{\Sigma C} = \tau^\mu\partial_\mu + (\sigma^{\nu\beta}\partial_\nu\tau^\alpha + \sigma^{\alpha\nu}\partial_\nu\tau^\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^{\alpha\beta}} + \qquad (31)\\
(\partial_\nu\tau^\alpha k_\mu{}^\nu{}_\beta - \partial_\beta\tau^\nu k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\nu - \partial_\mu\tau^\nu k_\nu{}^\alpha{}_\beta + \partial_{\mu\beta}\tau^\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

of vector fields τ on X [2]. It is an infinitesimal generator of general covariant transformations.

By analogy with gauge gravitation theory, the Lagrangian $L_{\rm MA}$ (28) of metric-affine gauge theory is assumed to be invariant under general covariant transformations. Its Lie derivative along the jet prolongation $J^1\widetilde{\tau}_{\Sigma C}$ of the vector field $\widetilde{\tau}_{\Sigma C}$ for any τ vanishes, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\widetilde{\tau}_{\Sigma C}} L_{\rm MA} = 0. \tag{32}$$

In order to analyze this condition, let us point out that a first order jet manifold J^1C of the fibre bundle C (9) possesses the canonical splitting

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta = \frac{1}{2}(k_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta - k_{\mu\lambda}{}^\alpha{}_\beta + k_\lambda{}^\gamma{}_\beta k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\gamma - k_\mu{}^\gamma{}_\beta k_\lambda{}^\alpha{}_\gamma) + \qquad (33)\\
\frac{1}{2}(k_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta + k_{\mu\lambda}{}^\alpha{}_\beta - k_\lambda{}^\gamma{}_\beta k_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\gamma + k_\mu{}^\gamma{}_\beta k_\lambda{}^\alpha{}_\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{R}_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta + \mathcal{S}_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta),
\end{aligned}$$

so that, if K is a section of $C_{\rm W} \to X$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta \circ J^1K = R_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta$ are components of the curvature (7). Then the following assertion is analogous to the well-known Utiyama theorem in Yang–Mills gauge theory of principal connections [2, 13].

Theorem 1. *If a first order Lagrangian $L_{\rm MA}$ on the configuration space (27) is invariant under general covariant transformations and it does not depend on the jet coordinates $\sigma^{\alpha\beta}_\lambda$ (i.e., derivatives of a metric), this Lagrangian factorizes through the terms $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda\mu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta$ (33).*

For instance, let us consider a Lagrangian

$$L = \mathcal{R}\sqrt{\sigma}\omega = \sigma^{\mu\beta}\mathcal{R}_{\lambda\mu}{}^\lambda{}_\beta\sqrt{\sigma}\omega, \qquad \sigma = \det(\sigma_{\mu\nu}). \tag{34}$$

similar to the Hilbert – Einstein one in metric-affine gravitation theory. The corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations read

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{R} = 0, \tag{35}$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{\nu}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = -d_\alpha(\sigma^{\nu\beta}\sqrt{\sigma}) + d_\lambda(\sigma^{\lambda\beta}\sqrt{\sigma})\delta^\nu_\alpha + (\sigma^{\nu\gamma}k_\alpha{}^\beta{}_\gamma - \sigma^{\lambda\gamma}\delta^\nu_\alpha k_\lambda{}^\beta{}_\gamma - \sigma^{\nu\beta}k_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\alpha + \sigma^{\lambda\beta}k_\lambda{}^\nu{}_\alpha)\sqrt{\sigma} = 0. \tag{36}$$

The equation (35) is an analogy of the Einstein equations in metric-affine gravitation theory. The equations (36) are brought into the form

$$\sqrt{\sigma^{-1}}\sigma_{\nu\varepsilon}\sigma_{\beta\mu}\mathcal{E}^{\nu}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = c_{\alpha\varepsilon\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mu\varepsilon}\sigma^{\lambda\gamma}c_{\alpha\lambda\gamma} - \sigma_{\alpha\varepsilon}\sigma^{\lambda\beta}c_{\lambda\beta\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\alpha\varepsilon}\sigma^{\lambda\gamma}c_{\mu\lambda\gamma} + t_{\mu\varepsilon\alpha} + \sigma_{\mu\varepsilon}t_\alpha{}^\gamma{}_\gamma + \sigma_{\alpha\varepsilon}t_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\mu = 0. \tag{37}$$

where we introduce the torsion

$$t_{\mu\nu\alpha} = -t_{\alpha\nu\mu} = \sigma_{\nu\beta}t_\mu{}^\beta{}_\alpha, \qquad t_\mu{}^\nu{}_\lambda = k_\mu{}^\nu{}_\lambda - k_\lambda{}^\nu{}_\mu,$$

and the non-metricity variables

$$c_{\mu\nu\alpha} = c_{\mu\alpha\nu} = d_\mu\sigma_{\nu\alpha} + k_\mu{}^\beta{}_\alpha\sigma_{\nu\beta} + k_\mu{}^\beta{}_\nu\sigma_{\beta\alpha}.$$

Substituting the contorsion

$$s_{\mu\nu\alpha} = -s_{\mu\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(t_{\nu\mu\alpha} + t_{\nu\alpha\mu} + t_{\mu\nu\alpha} + c_{\alpha\nu\mu} - c_{\nu\alpha\mu}),$$

into the equation (37), we obtain the equality

$$\frac{1}{2}(c_{\alpha\mu\varepsilon} + c_{\mu\alpha\varepsilon}) - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{\mu\varepsilon}c_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\alpha - \sigma_{\alpha\varepsilon}c_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\mu) + s_{\mu\varepsilon\alpha} - s_{\alpha\varepsilon\mu} + \sigma_{\mu\varepsilon}(s_\alpha{}^\gamma{}_\gamma - s_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\alpha) - \sigma_{\alpha\varepsilon}(s_\mu{}^\gamma{}_\gamma - s_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\mu) = 0. \tag{38}$$

Its symmetrization with respect to the indices α, μ leads to the condition $c_{\alpha\mu\varepsilon} + c_{\mu\alpha\varepsilon} = 0$, which together with the equality $c_{\mu\nu\alpha} = c_{\mu\alpha\nu}$ result in that the non-metricity vanishes:

$$c_{\mu\nu\alpha} =_\mu \sigma_{\nu\alpha} + k_\mu{}^\beta{}_\alpha\sigma_{\nu\beta} + k_\mu{}^\beta{}_\nu\sigma_{\beta\alpha} = 0. \tag{39}$$

Then the skew-symmetric part of the equality (38) with respect to the indices α, μ takes a form

$$s_{\mu\varepsilon\alpha} - s_{\alpha\varepsilon\mu} + \sigma_{\mu\varepsilon}(s_\alpha{}^\gamma{}_\gamma - s_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\alpha) - \sigma_{\alpha\varepsilon}(s_\mu{}^\gamma{}_\gamma - s_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\mu) = 0.$$

Its pairing with $\sigma^{\varepsilon\alpha}$ leads to the equalities

$$(s_\alpha{}^\gamma{}_\gamma - s_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_\alpha) = 0, \qquad s_{\mu\varepsilon\alpha} - s_{\alpha\varepsilon\mu} = 0,$$

and to that both a contorsion $s_{\mu\varepsilon\alpha}$ and a torsion $t_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ equal zero. Then we obtain from the equality (39) that

$$k_{\mu\nu\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}(d_\mu\sigma_{\nu\alpha} + d_\alpha\sigma_{\nu\mu} - d_\nu\sigma_{\mu\alpha}).$$

Substitution of these expression into the equation (35) results in a second order differential equation for the metric variables σ.

Matter fields in metric-affine gauge theory are spinor fields, e.g., Dirac's fermion fields in gravitation theory, we restrict or consideration to gravitation theory where they are spinor fields [2, 13]. They do not admit general covariant transformations, and we therefore follow the procedure in our previous works [14, 15] in order to describe them.

Spinors are conventionally described in the framework of formalism of Clifford algebras [8].

Let V be an n-dimensional real vector space provided with a pseudo-Euclidean metric η. Let us consider a tensor algebra

$$\otimes V = \mathbb{R} \oplus V \oplus \overset{2}{\otimes} V \oplus \cdots \oplus \overset{k}{\otimes} V \oplus \cdots$$

of V and its two-sided ideal I_η generated by the elements

$$v \otimes v' + v' \otimes v - 2\eta(v, v')e, \qquad v, v' \in V,$$

where e denotes the unit element of $\otimes V$. The quotient $\otimes V/I_\eta$ is a real non-commutative ring.

There is the canonical monomorphism of a real vector space V to $\otimes V/I_\eta$. It is a generating subspace of a real ring $\otimes V/I_\eta$. A real ring $\otimes V/I_\eta$ together with a fixed generating subspace V is called the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ modelled over a pseudo-Euclidean space (V, η).

Given Clifford algebras $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell(V', \eta')$, by their isomorphism $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta) \to \mathcal{C}\ell(V', \eta')$ is meant an isomorphism of them as real rings which also is an isomorphism of their generating pseudo-Euclidean spaces

$$\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta) \supset (V, \eta) \to (V', \eta') \subset \mathcal{C}\ell(V', \eta').$$

One can show that two Clifford algebras $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell(V', \eta')$ are isomorphic iff they are modelled over pseudo-Euclidean spaces (V, η) and (V', η') of the same signature [8].

Let a pseudo-Euclidean metric η be of signature $(m; n-m) = (1, ..., 1; -1, ..., -1)$. Let $\{v^1, ..., v^n\}$ be a basis for V such that η takes a diagonal form

$$\eta^{ab} = \eta(v^a, v^b) = \pm\delta^{ab}.$$

Then a real ring $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ is generated by the elements $v^1, ..., v^n$ which obey the relations

$$v^a v^b + v^b v^a = 2\eta^{ab} e.$$

We agree to call $\{v^1, ..., v^n\}$ the basis for a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$. Given this basis, let us denote $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta) = \mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$.

It may happen that a real ring $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ admits a generating pseudo-Euclidean subspace (V', η') of signature different from that of (V, η). In this case, $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ possesses the structure of a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V', \eta')$ which is not isomorphic to a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$. For instance, there are the following real ring isomorphisms [8]:

$$\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m) \simeq \mathcal{C}\ell(n-m+1, m-1), \quad \mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m) \simeq \mathcal{C}\ell(m-4, n-m+4), \quad n, m \geq 4.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ be a Clifford algebra modelled over a pseudo-Euclidean space (V, η), and let $\mathrm{Aut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)]$ denote the group of automorphisms of a real ring $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$. Restricted to $V \subset \mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$, an automorphism of a real ring $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ need not be an automorphism of a pseudo-Euclidean space (V, η) and, therefore, it fails to be an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, \eta)$ as a Clifford

algebra in general. An automorphism $g \in \mathrm{Aut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)]$ is an automorphism of a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)]$ iff it is an automorphism of a pseudo-Euclidean space V. Conversely, let $O(V,\eta)$ be a group of automorphisms

$$g : V \ni v \mapsto gv \in V, \qquad \eta(gv, gv') = \eta(v, v'), \qquad g \in O(V,\eta), \tag{40}$$

of a pseudo-Euclidean space (V,η). Since $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$ is generated by elements of V, the action (40) of a group $O(V,\eta)$ in (V,η) yields automorphisms of a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$ so that there exists a canonical monomorphism

$$O(V,\eta) \to \mathrm{Aut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)\,]. \tag{41}$$

Herewith, an automorphism of a real ring $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$ is the identity one iff its restriction to V is $\mathrm{Id}\, V$. Consequently, the following is true.

Theorem 2. *A subgroup $O(V,\eta) \subset \mathrm{Aut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)\,]$ (41) exhausts all automorphisms of a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$.*

Invertible elements of a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$ constitute a group $\mathcal{GC}\ell(V,\eta)$. Acting in $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$ by left and right multiplications, this group also acts in a Clifford algebra by the adjoint representation

$$\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta) \supset \mathcal{GC}\ell(V,\eta) \ni g : a \mapsto gag^{-1}, \qquad a \in \mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta). \tag{42}$$

This representation provides a homomorphism

$$\zeta : \mathcal{GC}\ell(V,\eta) \to \mathrm{IAut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)\,](V,\eta) \subset \mathrm{Aut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)\,] \tag{43}$$

of a group $\mathcal{GC}\ell(V,\eta)$ to a subgroup $\mathrm{IAut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)\,]$ of inner automorphisms of a real ring $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$.

It is readily observed that a group $\mathcal{GC}\ell(V,\eta)$ contains all elements $v \in V \subset \mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$ such that $\eta(v,v) \neq 0$. Then let us consider a subgroup $\mathrm{Cliff}(V,\eta) \subset \mathcal{GC}\ell(V,\eta)$ generated by all invertible elements of $V \subset \mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$. It is called the Clifford group.

Theorem 3. *If $n = \dim V$ is even, the homomorphism ζ (43) of a Clifford group* $\mathrm{Cliff}(V,\eta)$ *to* $\mathrm{IAut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)]$ *is its epimorphism*

$$\zeta : \mathcal{GC}\ell(V,\eta) \supset \mathrm{Cliff}(V,\eta) \to O(V,\eta) \subset \mathrm{IAut}[\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)]. \tag{44}$$

onto $O(V,\eta)$ [8].

Unless otherwise stated, let us restrict our consideration to Clifford algebras modelled over an even-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space V.

The epimorphism (44) yields an action of a Clifford group $\mathrm{Cliff}(V,\eta)$ in a pseudo-Euclidean space (V,η) by the adjoint representation (42). However, this action is not effective. Therefore, one consider subgroups $\mathrm{Pin}(V,\eta)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(V,\eta)$ of $\mathrm{Cliff}(V,\eta)$. The first one is generated by elements $v \in V$ such that $\eta(v,v) = \pm 1$. A group $\mathrm{Spin}(V,\eta)$ is defined as an intersection

$$\mathrm{Spin}(V,\eta) = \mathrm{Pin}(V,\eta) \cap \mathcal{C}\ell^0(V,\eta)$$

of a group $\mathrm{Pin}(V,\eta)$ and the even subring $\mathcal{C}\ell^0(V,\eta)$ of a Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V,\eta)$. In particular, generating elements $v \in V$ of $\mathrm{Pin}(V,\eta)$ do not belong to its subgroup $\mathrm{Spin}(V,\eta)$.

Theorem 4. *The epimorphism (44) restricted to the Spin group leads to short exact sequence of groups*

$$e \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(V,\eta) \xrightarrow{\zeta} SO(V,\eta) \to e, \tag{45}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_2 \to (e, -e) \subset \mathrm{Spin}(V, \eta)$.

It should be emphasized that an epimorphism ζ in (45) is not a trivial bundle unless η is of signature $(1,1)$. It is a universal coverings over each component of $O(V,\eta)$.

Let us consider the complexification

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m) = \mathbb{C} \underset{\mathbb{R}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m) \tag{46}$$

of a real ring $\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$. It is readily observed that all complexifications $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$, $m = 0, \ldots, n$, are isomorphic:

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m) \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(m', n-m'), \tag{47}$$

both as real and complex rings. Namely, with the bases $\{v^i\}$ and $\{e^i\}$ for $\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell(n, 0)$, their isomorphisms (47) are given by associations

$$v^{1,\ldots,m} \mapsto e^{1,\ldots,m}, \qquad v^{m+1,\ldots,n} \mapsto i e^{m+1,\ldots,n}. \tag{48}$$

Though the isomorphisms (48) are not unique, one can speak about an abstract complex ring $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ so that, given a real Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$ and its complexification $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$ (46), there exist the complex ring isomorphism (48) of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$ to $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$. We agree to call $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ the complex Clifford algebra, and to define it as a complex ring

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n) = \mathbb{C} \underset{\mathbb{R}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}\ell(n, 0) \tag{49}$$

generated by the basis elements ($\{e^i\}$ for $\mathcal{C}\ell(n, 0)$. The complex ring $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ (49) possesses a canonical real subring $\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$ with a basis $\{e^1, \ldots, e^m, ie^{m+1}, \ldots, ie^n\}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ be a complex Clifford algebra. Automorphisms of its real subrings $\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$ yields automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$, but do not exhaust all automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$.

By a representation of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ is meant its morphism γ to a complex algebra of linear endomorphisms of a finite-dimensional complex vector space.

Theorem 5. *If n is even, an irreducible representation of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ is unique up to an equivalence [8]. If n is odd there exist two non-equivalent irreducible representations of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ (see Section 2.5).*

A complex spinor space $\Xi(n)$ is defined as a carrier space of an irreducible representation of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ is . If n is even, it is unique up to an equivalence in accordance with Theorem 5. Therefore, it is sufficient to describe a complex spinor space $\Xi(n)$ as a subspace of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ which acts on $\Xi(n)$ by left multiplications.

Given a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$, let us consider its non-zero minimal left ideal which $\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ acts on by left multiplications. It is a finite-dimensional complex vector space. Therefore, an action of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ in a minimal left ideal by left multiplications defines a linear representation of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$. It obviously is irreducible. In this case, a minimal left ideal of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ is a complex spinor space $\Xi(n)$.

Hereafter, we define complex spinor spaces $\Xi(n)$ just as a minimal left ideals of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ which carry out its irreducible representation. In particular, this definition enables us to investigate morphisms of complex spinor spaces yielded by automorphisms of a complex Clifford algebra.

In order to describe complex spinor spaces defined in this way, one is based the following fact [8].

Theorem 6. *Any complex spinor space* $\Xi(n)$ *is generated by some Hermitian idempotent* $p \in \Xi(n)$, *i.e.,* $p = p^*$, $p^2 = p$, *namely,*

$$p = \frac{1}{2}(e + s), \qquad s^2 = e, \qquad s^* = s, \qquad s \neq e.$$

An irreducible representation of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ in a complex spinor space $\Xi(n)$ also implies the representations of both a real Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(m, n-m)$ and the Spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(m, n-m)$ in $\Xi(n)$ though they need not be irreducible.

In classical field theory, spinor fields are described by sections of a spinor bundle on an n-dimensional manifold X whose typical fibre is a spinor space $\Xi(n)$ which carries out a representation of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$.

Namely, let $CX \to X$ be a bundle in complex Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ whose structure group is a group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}[\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)\,]$ of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$. However, CX need not contain a spinor subbundle because a spinor subspace $\Xi(n)$. being a left ideal of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$, is not stable under automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$. A spinor subbundle $\Xi X \subset CX$ exists if CX also is a fibre bundle with a structure group $\mathcal{G}G_{\mathrm{s}}$ of invertible elements of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ by left multiplications. In this case, a structure group of CX is reducible to a subgroup G_{s} of inner automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ generated by elements of $\mathcal{G}G_{\mathrm{s}}$ similarly to the expression (42). Then a representation bundle morphism

$$\gamma : CX \underset{X}{\times} CX \supset CX \underset{X}{\times} \Xi X \to \Xi X \tag{50}$$

is defined.

A key point is that, in order to construct the Dirac operator on spinor fields, one need a representation of covectors to X as elements of a Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ acting in a spinor space $Psi(n)$. A necessary condition of such a representation is that a structure group GL_n of the cotangent bundle T^*X over X is reduced to a structure group $\mathcal{G}G_{\mathrm{s}}$ of CX, i.e., $\mathcal{G}G_{\mathrm{s}}$ is some group $SO(m, n-m)$.

Therefore, let a structure group $GL-n$ of a linear frame bundle be reduced to a subgroup $SO(m, n-m)$, and let g be the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian metric on X as a global section of the quotient bundle (13). In this case, one can think of the cotangent bundle T^*X over X as being a fibre bundle MX in pseudo-Euclidean spaces with a typical fibre M and a structure group $SO(m, n-m)$. This fibre bundle is extended to a fibre bundle in complex Clifford algebras CX whose fibres C_xX are complex Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$ which are complexifications of real Clifford algebras $\mathcal{C}\ell(M_x, g(x))$ modelled over pseudo-Euclidean tangent spaces $M_x = T^*_xX$ to X. A fibre bundle CX possesses a structure group $SO(m, n-m)$ of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}\ell(n)$, and there is a bundle monomorphism $T^*X \to CX$. In order to provide the representation (50) and in accordance with the exact sequence of groups (45), let us assume that a structure group $SO(m, n-m)$ of CX lifts to a structure Spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(m, n-m)$. By virtue of the well-known theorem [2, 3], this can occur if the second Stiefel – Whitney class of X is trivial. Then we get the representation morphism

$$CX \underset{X}{\times} CX \supset T^*X \underset{X}{\times} \Xi X \to \Xi X. \tag{51}$$

Thus, we come to the following notion [2, 8]. A spinor structure on a manifold X is defined as a pair (P^g, z_s) of a principal bundle $P^g \to X$ with a structure Spin group $G_{\rm s} = {\rm Spin}(m, n-m)$ and its bundle morphism $z_s : P^g \to LX$ to a frame bundle LX. This morphism factorizes

$$z_s : P^g \to L^g X \subset LX \tag{52}$$

through some reduced principal subbundle $L^g X \subset LX$ with a structure group $SO(m, n-m)$. Thus, any spinor structure on a manifold X is associated with a pseudo-Riemannian structure on X. There is the well-known topological obstruction to the existence of a spinor structure [1, 2]. To satisfy the corresponding topological conditions we further assume that a manifold X is non-compact and parallelizable, that is a linear frame bundle is trivial. In this case, all spinor structures (52) are isomorphic. Therefore, there is one-to-one correspondence (52) between the pseudo-Riemannian structures $L^g X$ and the spinor structures (P^g, z_s) which factorize through the corresponding $L^g X$. We agree to call P^g the spinor principal bundles.

Let us describe the representation morphism (51) in an explicit form.

Due to the factorization (52), every bundle atlas $\Psi^h = \{z^h_\iota\}$ (14) of $L^g X$ gives rise to an atlas

$$\overline{\Psi}^h = \{\overline{z}^h_\iota\}, \qquad z^h_\iota = z_h \circ \overline{z}^h_\iota, \tag{53}$$

of a principal $G_{\rm s}$-bundle P^g.

Let (P^g, z_h) be the spinor structure associated with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g. Let

$$S^g = (P^g \times \Xi(n))/G_{\rm s} \to X \tag{54}$$

be a P^g-associated spinor bundle whose typical fibre $\Xi(n)$ carriers a representation of a Spin group group $G_{\rm s}$. Given the atlas (53), let S^g (54) be provided with the associated bundle coordinates (x^μ, y^A).

Let us consider an $L^g X$-associated bundle in pseudo-Riemannian spaces

$$M^g X = (L^g X \times M)/SO(m, n-m) = (P^g \times M)/G_{\rm s}. \tag{55}$$

It is isomorphic to the cotangent bundle

$$T^*X = (L^g X \times M)/SO(m, n-m).$$

Then, using the morphism (51), one can define a representation

$$\gamma_g : T^*X \underset{X}{\times} S^g \to S^g \tag{56}$$

of covectors to X by Dirac γ-matrices on elements of a spinor bundle S^h. Relative to a bundle atlas $\{z^h_\iota\}$ of LX and the corresponding atlas $\{\overline{z}_\iota\}$ (53) of a spinor principal bundle P^g, the representation (56) reads

$$y^A(\gamma_g(h^a(x)) = \gamma(t^a)^A{}_B y^B,$$

where y^A are associated bundle coordinates on S^g, and h^a are tetrad coframes. For brevity, we write

$$\widehat{h}^a = \gamma_g(h^a) = \gamma(t^a) = \gamma^a, \qquad \widehat{dx}^\lambda = \gamma_g(dx^\lambda) = h^\lambda_a(x)\gamma^a. \tag{57}$$

Given the representation (57), one can introduce a Dirac operator on S^g with respect to a principal connection on P^g. Then one can think of sections of S^g (54) as describing spinor fields in the presence of a pseudo-Riemannian metric g.

Note that there is one-to-one correspondence between the principal connections on P^g and those on a reduced bundle L^gX. It follows that any linear connection K (6), generating the connection K_g (25) on L^gX, yields the corresponding spinor connection K_s on P^g and S^g [2, 10]. This connection takes a form

$$K_s = K^g = dx^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + A_\lambda{}^b{}_a I_b{}^a), \qquad A_\lambda{}^b{}_a = -h^b_\mu \partial_\lambda h^\mu_a + K^g{}_\lambda{}^\mu{}_\nu h^b_\mu h^\nu_a, \tag{58}$$

where $I_b{}^a$ are generators of the Lie algebra of a Spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(m, n-m)$ in a spin space $\Xi(n)$.

This fact enables one to describe spinor fields in the framework of metric-affine gauge theory with general linear connections.

Spinor fields in the presence of different pseudo-Riemannian metrics g and g' are described by sections of different spinor bundles S^g and $S^{g'}$. A problem is that, though reduced subbundles L^gX and $L^{g'}X$ are isomorphic, the associated structures of bundles in pseudo-Riemannian spaces M^gX and $M^{g'}X$ (55) on the cotangent bundle T^*X are non-equivalent because of non-equivalent actions of a group $SO(m, n-m)$ on a typical fibre of T^*X seen both as a typical fibre of M^gX and that of $M^{g'}X$. As a consequence, the representations γ_h and $\gamma_{h'}$ (57) for different metrics g and g' are non-equivalent [2, 10]. Indeed, let

$$t^* = t_\mu dx^\mu = t_a h^a = t'_a h'^a$$

be an element of T^*X. Its representations γ_g and $\gamma_{g'}$ (56) read

$$\gamma_g(t^*) = t_a \gamma^a = t_\mu h^\mu_a \gamma^a, \qquad \gamma_{g'}(t^*) = t'_a \gamma^a = t_\mu h'^\mu{}_a \gamma^a.$$

They are non-equivalent because no isomorphism Φ_{s} of S^g onto $S^{g'}$ can obey the condition

$$\gamma_{g'}(t^*) = \Phi_{\mathrm{s}} \gamma_g(t^*) \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}^{-1}, \qquad t^* \in T^*X.$$

Since the representations (57) for different metrics fail to be equivalent, one meets a problem of describing spinor fields in the presence of different pseudo-Riemannian metrics.

In order to solve this problem, we follow the procedure in [15]. Let us consider a universal two-fold covering $\widetilde{GL}_4$ of a group $GL_4 n$ and a $\widetilde{GL}_g$-principal bundle $\widetilde{LX} \to X$ which is a two-fold covering bundle of a frame bundle LX [2, 8]. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{LX} & \stackrel{\zeta}{\longrightarrow} & LX \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ P^g & \longrightarrow & L^gX \end{array}$$

for any spinor structure (52) [2, 10]. As a consequence,

$$\widetilde{LX}/G_{\mathrm{s}} = LX/SO(m, n-m) = \Sigma.$$

Since $\widetilde{LX} \to \Sigma$ is an G_{s}-principal bundle, one can consider an associated spinor bundle $S \to \Sigma$ whose typical fibre is $\Xi(n)$. We agree to call it the universal spinor bundle because, given a pseudo-Riemannian metric g, the pull-back $S^g = g^*S \to X$ of S onto X is a spinor bundle S^g on

X which is associated with an $G_{\rm s}$-principal bundle P^g. A spinor bundle S is endowed with bundle coordinates $(x^\lambda, \sigma^\mu_a, y^A)$, where $(x^\lambda, \sigma^\mu_a)$ are bundle coordinates on Σ and y^A are coordinates on a spinor space $\Xi(n)$. A spinor bundle $S \to \Sigma$ is a subbundle of a bundle in Clifford algebras which is generated by a bundle of pseudo-Riemannian spaces associated with a $SO(m, n-m)$-principal bundle $LX \to \Sigma$. As a consequence, there is a representation

$$\gamma_\Sigma : T^*X \underset{\Sigma}{\times} S \to S, \qquad \gamma_\Sigma(dx^\lambda) = \sigma^\lambda_a \gamma^a, \tag{59}$$

whose restriction to a subbundle $S^g \subset S$ restarts the representation (57).

Sections of a composite bundle

$$S \to \Sigma \to X \tag{60}$$

describe spinor fields in the presence of different pseudo-Riemannian metrics as follows.

By virtue of ([15], Theorem 6), any linear connection K on X (6) yields a connection

$$A_\Sigma = dx^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + K^g{}_\lambda{}^\mu{}_\nu \sigma^b_\mu \sigma^\nu_a I_b{}^a) + d\sigma^b_\mu \otimes (\partial_\lambda - si^\mu_a I_b{}^a) \tag{61}$$

on the spinor bundle $S \to \Sigma$ whose restriction to S^g is the spinor connection $K_{\rm s}$ (58) defined by defined by K. The connection (61) yields the so called vertical covariant differential

$$\widetilde{D} = dx^\lambda \otimes [y^A_\lambda + (\sigma^b_{\lambda\mu}\sigma^\mu_a - K^g{}_\lambda{}^\mu{}_\nu \sigma^b_\mu \sigma^\nu_a)(I_b{}^a)^A{}_B y^B],$$

on a fibre bundle $S \to X$ (60). Its restriction to $J^1S^g \subset J^1S$ recovers the familiar covariant differential on a spinor bundle $S^g \to X$ relative to the spin connection (58).

References

[1] Geroch, R. (1968). Spinor structure of space-time in general relativity, *J. Math. Phys.* **9**, 1739.

[2] Giachetta, G., Mangiarotti, L. and Sardanashvily, G. (2009). *Advanced Classical Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore).

[3] Greub, W. and Petry, H-R. (1978). On the lifting of structure groups, *Differential Geometric methods in Mathematical Physics II*, Lect. Notes in Mathematics, **676** (Springer, Berlin) p. 217.

[4] Hehl, F., McCrea, J., Mielke, E. and Ne'eman, Y. (1995). Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilaton invariance, *Phys. Rep.* **258**, 1.

[5] Ivanenko, D. and Sardanashvily, G. (1983). The gauge treatment of gravity, *Phys. Rep.* **94**, 1.

[6] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K. (1963). *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. 1* (John Wiley, New York).

[7] Kolář, I., Michor, P. and Slovák,J. (1993) *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry* (Springer, Berlin).

[8] H.Lawson, H. and Michelson,M-l. (1989) *Spin Geometry*, (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton).

[9] Obukhov, Yu. (2006). Poincaré gauge theories: selected topics, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **3**, 95.

[10] Sardanashvily, G. (1998). Covariant spin structure, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 4874.

[11] Sardanashvily, G. (2006). Geometry of classical Higgs fields, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **3**, 139; *arXiv*: hep-th/0510168.

[12] Sardanashvily, G. (2008). Classical field theory. Advanced mathematical formulation, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **5**, 1163; *arXiv*: 0811.0331.

[13] Sardanashvily, G. (2011). Classical gauge gravitation theory, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, **8**, 1869; *arXiv*: 1110.1176.

[14] Sardanashvily, G., Kurov A. (2013). Theory of classical Higgs fields. I. Matter fields, *arXiv*: 1312.3696.

[15] Sardanashvily, G., Kurov A. (2014). Theory of classical Higgs fields. II. Lagrangians, *arXiv*: 1411.7317.

[16] Sardanashvily, G. (2014). Classical Higgs fields, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **181**, 1598.

[17] Steenrod, N. (1972). *The Topology of Fibre Bundles* (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton).